

Michele Faraon
5478 Bellsprings Rd.
Garberville, CA 95542

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW.
Washington DC 20554.

RE: My comments on Media Ownership Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking - Docket 06-121

Dear FCC,

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

As an American citizen and taxpayer, I am outraged at former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury two separate studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.

The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy. I will join millions of Americans in closely following your actions regarding this vital matter. I hope and expect you will honor your responsibility to the American people by stopping any further media consolidation.


Most sincerely,

Michele Faraon

Michele Faraon

10

October 14, 2006



To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a comment on proceeding 06-121 (Media Ownership).

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters. Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

First and Last name
Mailing Address
City, State
Zip
Email Address
Other Comments:

**LAURA STECKMAN
5484 N. BRAMBLE BROOK LANE
TUCSON, AZ 85704**

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Laura Steckman".

0

More info from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.

October 14, 2006

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

***I am filing a comment on proceeding FP-003
(Media Ownership: Out of the Picture).***

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my outrage that the FCC has failed in efforts to monitor and foster more minority ownership of television stations. There is something terribly wrong with our media system when minorities comprise such substantial parts of the U.S. population but own so few broadcast outlets.

A recent report by the media reform organization Free Press found that that while minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, only 3.26 percent of all broadcast stations are owned by minorities. The report also finds that the number of minority owned stations has dropped since more consolidated media ownership was permitted in the 1996 Telecommunications Act.

Diversity is the cornerstone of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to lose it in any way. It would be unconscionable for the FCC to let large media owners buy up more local media outlets before redressing the agency's failure to foster minority voices in the media.

The FCC should not allow relaxation or elimination of the limits on media ownership without first hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of minority representation and lack of minority broadcast station owners. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from diverse voices. The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few.

First and Last name

Mailing Address

City, State

Zip

Email Address

Other Comments:

**LAURA STECKMAN
5484 N. BRAMBLE BROOK LANE
TUCSON, AZ 85704**

Sincerely,



More information from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.

Public comment on proceeding FP-002 (Media Ownership Cover-Up)

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of former FCC Chairman Michael Powell's efforts to bury studies that found media consolidation to be harmful to local news reporting. No decision should be made on ownership until a full and independent investigation of this cover-up is completed.


The FCC can't simply hide data from the public and push through new rules that unleash further consolidation of local news outlets. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

The FCC should allow no relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership without first weighing all of the evidence and hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of consolidation.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.



First and Last name

Mailing Address

**LAURA STECKMAN
5484 N. BRAMBLE BROOK LANE
TUCSON, AZ 85704**

City, State

Zip

Email Address

Other Comments

Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

October 14, 2006

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 23 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a comment on proceeding 06-121 (Media Ownership).

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters. Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

Sincerely,

First and Last name

Mailing Address

City, State

Zip

Email Address

Other Comments:

PETER ANDERSON

10991 E. VIA RINCONADO

TUCSON, AZ

85749

HIPPIE@HOTMAIL.COM

THE ROBIN POWELL FAMILY SHOULD BE ASHAMED

OF THE UNAMERICAN JOB THEIR SON HAS MANIPULATED

DURING HIS REIGN AT THIS APPOINTED POSITION. MEDIA CONSOLIDATION

IS CREATING A CLIMATE OF FACISM IN AMERICA THAT WILL BE SWIFTLY

CORRECTED IN THE FUTURE BY

NEW REPRESENTATIVES OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC + NOT THE

AMERICAN RICH + ELITE! HOW MUCH MORE OF THE

PUBLIC TRUST CAN THIS ADMINISTRATION GIVE AWAY TO

THE BIG BUSINESS

More info from the FCC on this issue can be found at

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf

October 14, 2006

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a comment on proceeding FP-003

(Media Ownership: Out of the Picture).

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my outrage that the FCC has failed in efforts to monitor and foster more minority ownership of television stations. There is something terribly wrong with our media system when minorities comprise such substantial parts of the U.S. population but own so few broadcast outlets.

A recent report by the media reform organization Free Press found that that while minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, only 3.26 percent of all broadcast stations are owned by minorities. The report also finds that the number of minority owned stations has dropped since more consolidated media ownership was permitted in the 1996 Telecommunications Act.

Diversity is the cornerstone of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to lose it in any way. It would be unconscionable for the FCC to let large media owners buy up more local media outlets before redressing the agency's failure to foster minority voices in the media.

The FCC should not allow relaxation or elimination of the limits on media ownership without first hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of minority representation and lack of minority broadcast station owners. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from diverse voices. The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few.

First and Last name PETER ANDERSON
Mailing Address 10991 E. VIA RINCONADO
City, State TUCSON AZ. 85749
Zip 85749

Sincerely,

Email Address HIPPIEPETE@HOTMAIL.COM

Other Comments: WE WILL COMPLETELY RECLAIM + REORGANIZE ALL THE CORPORATION FAVORED DECISIONS THIS FACIST REGIM HAS CREATED W THE FCC. WHEN WE CHANGE THIS RUINO DICTATORSHIP WE WILL GET THIS COUNTRY BACK ON TRACK + OUT OF THE HANDS OF THE ~~FEW~~ FEW!
More information from the FCC on this issue can be found at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.

October 14, 2006

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 23 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a ~~comment on~~ proceeding 06-121 (Media Ownership).

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters. Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

First and Last name *Rebecca Blaine*
Mailing Address *Po Box 173*
City, State *Ruidoso Downs, NM*
Zip *88346*
Email Address
Other Comments:

Sincerely,

Rebecca L. Blaine

0

October 14, 2006

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 23 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a comment on proceeding 06-121 (Media Ownership).

DOCKED COPY ORIGINAL

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters. Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

First and Last name
Mailing Address
City, State
Zip
Email Address
Other Comments:

ROBERT SHEEHAN
2781 W. CALLE CARAPAN
TUCSON AZ 85745

Sincerely,



0
JAN 30 2006

More info from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.

October 14, 2006

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

***I am filing a comment on proceeding FP-003
(Media Ownership: Out of the Picture).***

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my outrage that the FCC has failed in efforts to monitor and foster more minority ownership of television stations. There is something terribly wrong with our media system when minorities comprise such substantial parts of the U.S. population but own so few broadcast outlets.

A recent report by the media reform organization Free Press found that that while minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, only 3.26 percent of all broadcast stations are owned by minorities. The report also finds that the number of minority owned stations has dropped since more consolidated media ownership was permitted in the 1996 Telecommunications Act.

Diversity is the cornerstone of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to lose it in any way. It would be unconscionable for the FCC to let large media owners buy up more local media outlets before redressing the agency's failure to foster minority voices in the media.

The FCC should not allow relaxation or elimination of the limits on media ownership without first hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of minority representation and lack of minority broadcast station owners. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from diverse voices. The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few.

First and Last name

Mailing Address

City, State

Zip

Email Address

Other Comments:

ROBERT SHEEHAN
2781 W. CALLE CARAPAN
TUCSON, AZ 85745

Sincerely,



More information from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.

October 14, 2006

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

OCT 23 2006

FCC - MAIL ROOM

To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

I am filing a comment on proceeding 06-121 (Media Ownership).

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

I am writing to express my strong disapproval of any relaxation or elimination of the public interest limits on media ownership. Localism and diversity are the cornerstones of a democratic media system, and we cannot afford to compromise them in any way.

Limits on media consolidation have been a bulwark against the concentration of economic power in the marketplace of ideas -- a critical part of balancing the public service mission of the media with their private profit motive. Our democracy requires the free flow of information from a broad range of diverse voices.

Any public policy seeking to protect diversity in the media must recognize the simple fact that ownership matters. Media consolidation has already led to declines in local and minority ownership as well as the homogenization of content in radio and television. Permitting cross-ownership of newspapers and broadcast stations, or allowing further concentration in local television markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

When the FCC attempted to weaken and remove media ownership limits in 2003, millions of Americans rose up in protest. Congress and the courts ultimately intervened to turn back that misguided regulatory process.

Now that these same rules are being reconsidered, the FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few. A vote against media consolidation is a vote for democracy.

Sincerely,

First and Last name

Mailing Address

City, State

Zip


Email Address

Other Comments:

More info from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf

0

October 14, 2006



To: FCC
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC
20554

***I am filing a comment on proceeding FP-003
(Media Ownership: Out of the Picture).***

Dear Federal Communications Commission,

I am writing to express my outrage that the FCC has failed in efforts to monitor and foster more minority ownership of television stations. There is something terribly wrong with our media system when minorities comprise such substantial parts of the U.S. population but own so few broadcast outlets.

A recent report by the media reform organization Free Press found that that while minorities make up 33 percent of the U.S. population, only 3.26 percent of all broadcast stations are owned by minorities. The report also finds that the number of minority owned stations has dropped since more consolidated media ownership was permitted in the 1996 Telecommunications Act.

Diversity is the cornerstone of a democratic media system; we cannot afford to lose it in any way. It would be unconscionable for the FCC to let large media owners buy up more local media outlets before redressing the agency's failure to foster minority voices in the media.

The FCC should not allow relaxation or elimination of the limits on media ownership without first hearing out widespread public concern about the problems of minority representation and lack of minority broadcast station owners. Allowing further concentration of local media markets, will only worsen the problems we already have.

Our democracy requires the free flow of local information from diverse voices. The FCC should stand firm with the public against further concentration of media ownership in the hands of the few.

First and Last name Brooke Beam
Mailing Address 1023 N Alamo Ave
City, State Tucson, AZ
Zip 85711
Email Address
Other Comments:

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brooke Beam", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

More information from the FCC on this issue can be found at
http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-266034A1.pdf.